

Community forward: developing an open and free cataloguing standard for rare materials

kalan Knudson Davis, Special Collections Metadata Librarian, University of Minnesota, **Jessica Grzegorski**, Head of Cataloging, Newberry Library, **Elizabeth Hobart**, Interim Head of Cataloging and Metadata Services, Pennsylvania State University, **A. Tims**, Head, Cataloging and Description, Lilly Library, Indiana University, Bloomington

Abstract

Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (RDA Edition) (DCRMR) is a rare materials cataloguing standard aligned with the official RDA Toolkit. DCRMR is informed by core principles of community and sustainability while employing open-source publication models and infrastructure. The RBMS RDA Editorial Group, composed of rare materials cataloguers actively working in the field, is responsible for developing and maintaining DCRMR. This article discusses predecessor rare materials cataloguing standards that led to the development of DCRMR, the principles and constraints that shaped DCRMR from its initial inception to eventual release, the method and technical tools used to achieve the RBMS RDA Editorial Group's outcomes, and future directions for development.

[NOTE: The original version of this article was published in Library Resources & Technical Services (v. 67, no. 1 (2023)), <https://doi.org/10.5860/lrts.67n1.26>, a peer-reviewed, open-access journal published by the American Library Association. In this version of the article, the authors have internationalised the spelling and have made minor updates to the content to reflect developments in Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (RDA Edition) since the publication of the original article.]

Introduction

Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (RDA Edition) (DCRMR) is a rare materials cataloguing standard that aligns with Resource Description and Access (RDA).¹ The initial DCRMR release in February 2022 rewrote and restructured *Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Books)* (DCRM(B)) instructions to complement the RDA Toolkit.² DCRMR, unlike DCRM(B), is an integrating resource, published as a website, with updates framed as releases instead of revisions. While the first iteration of DCRMR contains instructions for cataloguing rare books only, future releases will incorporate instructions for other formats.

DCRMR centres community. It was created, and is maintained, by the rare materials cataloguing community for the rare materials cataloguing community. Volunteers from an array of institutions in multiple countries have contributed labour and knowledge to the standard and its growth, both by serving on the RBMS RDA Editorial Group and its predecessor groups and by providing feedback at different junctures in the editorial process. DCRMR is officially published by the Bibliographic Standards Committee (BSC) of the Rare Books and Manuscripts Section (RBMS) of the Association of College and Research Libraries (ACRL), a division of the American Library Association (ALA). However, it was created by the international rare materials cataloguing community.

DCRMR also centres sustainability. The BSC decided to create a stand-alone, integrating manual for RDA-aligned rare materials cataloguing during the ALA Annual Conference in June 2019. The RBMS RDA Editorial Group chose to create it as a website using a GitHub repository at the ALA Midwinter Conference in January 2020. Less than two months later, the COVID-19 pandemic caused massive personal and professional disruption. As our institutions closed, many of us were forced into ad hoc work-from-home situations where home and the office collided and, at times, conflicted. Then, in May 2020, the murder of George Floyd sparked a global movement toward justice-oriented community building, which became part of the warp and weft of creating DCRMR, as much of the technical infrastructure of DCRMR was built by an Editorial Group member who resides in the Powderhorn Park neighbourhood of Minneapolis. Over the past several years, it has become clear that in order to be sustainable, DCRMR must rely on communal efforts, not individuals, to allow for people to step back, step down, and maintain their own well-being. Towards that end, succession planning, open sharing of knowledge, and extensive documentation have been integrated into DCRMR's workflows and planning.

DCRMR centres open-source infrastructure. Built on a zero-dollar budget, it is available openly and freely on the web, aligning our cataloguing standards with our professional values of transparency, accessibility, and

equity.³ The Editorial Group utilized freely-available, well-established technical tools and software like Python, Ruby, GitHub, and Notepad++ to create DCRMR, and the text is published under a Creative Commons license that allows others to adapt the text to their own needs or use the code base to develop their own infrastructure. Choosing an open-source model allows people to use and build on the work of the Editorial Group, just as the Editorial Group has used and built on the work of others.

DCRMR is one contribution in the overarching history of rare materials cataloguing standards development.

Background and literature review

Raw Materials Cataloguing Standards

Rare materials have distinct cataloguing needs, including describing individual issues and states and distinguishing individual copies of a manifestation. In an article on the development of rare book cataloguing practices, Beth M. Russell highlights the "constant tension between descriptive bibliography and library cataloging."⁴ Russell notes the fundamental differences between "mainstream" cataloguing and rare materials cataloguing, chiefly the artefactual nature of rare materials due to their method of construction.⁵ She highlights the philosophical differences between various cataloguing codes of the past while emphasizing the importance of transcription, transposition, format and collation, and the robust nature of rare materials notes, access points, and copy-specific information.⁶ Similarly, Juliet McLaren and Jane Gillis compile a history and development of rare serials cataloguing rules, emphasizing that rare serials "cannot be identified without careful transcription of their sometimes unique extended titles, their imprints (where present), and detailed notes."⁷ Their analysis of the descriptive needs for rare serials walks through each area of description from International Standard Bibliographic Description (ISBD), detailing why previous rules were inadequate for identification and ending with a discussion of cataloguing early reprints, republications, and access points.⁸

Throughout the history of rare materials cataloguing, cataloguers have sought to reconcile these needs with the strictures of cataloguing codes. Russell's article discusses the reconciliation process between bibliography and G. Thomas Tanselle's argument for "mutual understanding between bibliographers and catalogers" in the 1970s and the nature of recording physical facts.⁹ Russell then describes the evolution of rare materials cataloguing through various past codes.¹⁰ Mary Burns continues this discussion, detailing the evolution of rare materials cataloguing standards by summarizing the development history of *Bibliographic Description of Rare Books* (BDRB), *Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Books* (DCRB), and the various task forces leading to the development of the RBMS Policy Statements (RBMS PS), previously slated for incorporation into the RDA Toolkit.¹¹ Burns, in her two-part article "RDA and Rare Books Cataloging," compares the cataloguing outputs of three bibliographic records created for the same book following the stipulations of DCRM(B), the *BIBCO Standard Record (BSR) RDA Metadata Application Profile* with rare materials provisions, and the original RDA Toolkit (2013) with its exceptions for early printed resources.¹² Burns notes that, even with the provisions and expectations, "there are description and transcription issues that rare materials catalogers need to address that RDA, a general cataloging standard, does not," suggesting that the discrepancy between rare materials standards and general standards remains.¹³

In 2007, the BSC published DCRM(B) in collaboration with the Library of Congress.¹⁴ DCRM(B) was the first in the suite of *Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials* (DCRM) manuals. Meanwhile, the RDA Steering Committee (RSC) (formerly the Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA) began developing RDA to replace the second edition of AACR2 as part of its strategic plan (2005-2009).¹⁵ The editors of DCRM(B) considered postponing work on the manual until the publication of RDA but elected to proceed, "given the progress already made on DCRM(B) and the considerable investment to date of time, labour, and money."¹⁶ Subsequently, the BSC published five DCRM manuals covering additional formats: serials (2008), graphics (2013), cartographic (2016), manuscripts (2016), and music (2016).

After the publication of RDA in 2010, rare materials cataloguers quickly began to consider the future of DCRM in relation to RDA.¹⁷ Dr Robert Maxwell and John Attig investigated issues surrounding the future development of DCRM following the adoption of RDA, including the relationship between the standards, terminology used within the DCRM text, the organization (i.e., structure and arrangement) of the standard, descriptive aspects not traditionally covered by DCRM, DCRM's relationship to *International Standard Bibliographic Description*

for *Older Monographic Publications (Antiquarian)* (ISBD(A)), and broader policy related to the application of DCRM(B).¹⁸ Their discussion paper also outlined differences between *Anglo-American Cataloguing Rules*, 2nd ed. (AACR2) and the original RDA Toolkit that are relevant to the revisions of DCRM, including differences in terminology, sources of information and use of brackets, transcription practices, use of abbreviations, categorisation of resources using RDA elements (e.g., media type, carrier type, etc.), recording terms from controlled vocabularies, and the formulation of access points for manifestations and items.¹⁹ In the years between Maxwell and Attig's discussion paper and the initial development of DCRM, this report has served as a touchstone for the intervening task forces and editorial groups.

Todd Fell and Francis Lapka posed the possibility of an international standard for rare materials cataloguing.²⁰ They outlined several requirements for this standard: an extension of a standard for general cataloguing that acknowledges the needs of the specialist community, an international governing body with translations for use in diverse communities, embraces the prevailing international models for bibliographic description, is open and reusable, acknowledges the centrality of transcription in rare materials cataloguing, integrates with the current data landscape, and is responsive to user needs.²¹ Although this article did not address whether there should be a common standard for rare materials cataloguing, it did offer one possible path forward for this work.²²

The BSC formed the DCRM-RDA Task Force (2011-2012), which recommended revising DCRM(B) to align it with RDA.²³ In 2012, the BSC formed the DCRM(B) for RDA Revision Group to complete this work.²⁴ The Program for Cooperative Cataloging (PCC) released the first iteration of the BIBCO Standard Record (BSR) on 1 January 2013.²⁵ The BSR includes DCRM-aligned provisions for cataloguing rare materials developed in collaboration with the PCC Task Force for BSR for Rare Materials Based on RDA. On 22 April 2013, the BSC issued a statement on the relationship between DCRM and RDA, stating that the BSC is "neutral ... neither encouraging nor discouraging agencies regarding implementation of RDA-acceptable DCRM records."²⁷ The statement provided interim guidance to cataloguers using DCRM until an RDA-aligned version of DCRM could be published. For most rare materials formats, cataloguers could choose either to follow the appropriate AACR2-based DCRM manual for description in conjunction with RDA for constructing access points or to create RDA records using the rare materials provisions in the BIBCO Standard Record.

At the ALA Annual Conference in 2013, the BSC expanded the charge of the DCRM(B) for RDA Revision Group to create RDA-aligned guidelines for all formats in the DCRM suite and renamed the group the DCRM for RDA Revision Group.²⁸ At the next ALA Annual Conference in 2014, the Revision Group recommended authoring a set of policy statements for rare materials to accompany RDA instead of rewriting the DCRM suite. In response, the ACRL/RBMS Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials Task Force (2014-2017), an independent RBMS task force under the aegis of the BSC, was established to complete this project.²⁹ In 2016, the task force formally named its guidelines the RBMS Policy Statements (RBMS PS) in alignment with the naming conventions of other RDA policy statements.³⁰ In 2017, the Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials Task Force submitted an initial draft of the RBMS PS and disbanded.³¹ The BSC absorbed the editorial work on the policy statements, but much of the work was put on hold while the RSC revised the RDA Toolkit in response to the RDA Restructure and Redesign (3R) Project.³²

Uses, benefits, and workflows of Git and GitHub in library science

Even a cursory glance into library science literature will illuminate the many and varied uses, benefits, and workflows of GitHub. Robin Camille Davis lists examples of the use of GitHub in a library context, including developing and sharing code or datasets, digital archives, or writing entire books, highlighting that "GitHub has become a site for academic transparency" and calling Git a "librarian's dream tool."³³

In addition to transparency, Davis discusses the following benefits of GitHub: version control, ease in creating documentation, and social networking.³⁴ Prayudi Utomo and Falahah describe the benefits of developing a serverless website hosted using GitHub Pages, including increased productivity, ease of website management and configuration, and reduced effort for code review while implementing new services.³⁵ In this instance, the authors chose GitHub Pages as their Content Delivery Network (CDN) because of its version tracking, robust collaboration support, and free static website hosting.³⁶ Yasset Perez-Riverol, et al. remark

that GitHub eases "sharing programming tasks between different remote contributors," while the version control system provides transparency in the development process and the inbuilt social features support "peer review, commenting, and discussion."³⁷

Keith Engwall and Mitchell Roe outline a typical Git workflow describing a main branch and the creation and merging of development branches onto the main branch.³⁸ Their six-step workflow used in a web development model includes: creating a discussion issue for a proposed change, creating a development branch for the proposed change, editing code and testing the development branch until the change is complete, undergoing a development code review process, merging the development branch into the main branch, and pushing the changes to a production web server.³⁹ Because of the numerous benefits of GitHub for collaborative workflows and projects, it is central to the development of DCRM.

The move toward DCRM

In August 2018, the BSC formed a subgroup to finalize the draft of the RBMS PS for publication in the RDA Toolkit.⁴⁰ In April 2019, the RSC completed the 3R Project and released a stable English-language version of the Toolkit. However, the substantial changes to the Toolkit meant that the RBMS PS could not be used in their current form.

Following discussions at the ALA Annual Conference in June 2019, during which the rare materials cataloguing community expressed a desire for a stand-alone manual, the RBMS Policy Statements Editorial Group decided to rewrite the DCRM suite as a single RDA-aligned integrating resource and write lightweight policy statements to link from the RDA Toolkit to the revised DCRM.⁴¹ To reflect this change in scope, the group was renamed the RBMS RDA Editorial Group. In February 2020, the new manual was officially named Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (RDA Edition) (DCRMR).⁴²

The RBMS RDA Editorial Group consists of 10-14 members. One or two members serve as chief editors and are responsible for Editorial Group planning, finalizing editorial decisions, maintaining high-level consistency across the text, and liaising with external groups as appropriate. In addition, at least two members serve as keepers of the text (also called keepers), who are responsible for developing and maintaining the GitHub deployment and maintaining the canonical version of the text. All group members play an editorial role by participating in the drafting and revision of text and in the collaborative decision-making process.

Principles and constraints

DCRMR was conceived and built to meet the need of the rare materials cataloguing community for a stand-alone manual, using language that will be familiar to cataloguers and clear cataloguing instructions with citation numbers to assist in citing a particular instruction. To support practical applications of the DCRM instructions, all examples represent real-world objects and descriptions to better reflect cataloguing in practice.

While earlier DCRM manuals were published as monographs, DCRM is an integrating resource, which allows the text to be responsive to changes in RDA. In addition, the manual is published online as an open-access resource, ensuring broad availability. DCRM is available to all interested users for free, both via the internet and via a downloadable PDF, allowing users to print the document if they wish. DCRM is licensed with a Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial ShareAlike ((CC) BY-NC-SA) license, allowing others to adapt the text to their local needs.⁴³

The Editorial Group has sought to maintain transparency throughout the process. Because the text is hosted on GitHub, users are able to submit issues (a discussion thread on problems encountered or future developments) and read discussions of those issues. GitHub is a version control system, allowing users to see how the text has changed over time. Finally, the Editorial Group retains earlier versions of the downloadable PDF for any users wishing to consult earlier versions of the text.

Material constraints have impacted publication. DCRM is created and maintained by a volunteer committee. Editorial Group members receive no compensation and need to schedule around other personal and

professional commitments. The Editorial Group prepared the first iteration of DCRMR between January 2020 and July 2021. During this time, many Editorial Group members worked remotely or on hybrid schedules, allowing for extra writing time. At the same time, the global events that occurred during 2020 and 2021 took a significant toll on group members. For past DCRM manuals, the Editorial Group met in person to discuss editorial decisions. The pandemic forced the RBMS RDA Editorial Group to collaborate virtually. The group met in person for the last time during the ALA Midwinter Conference in January 2020, about seven weeks before lockdowns began in the United States. Although the group met consistently throughout the pandemic, they never expected that work would be exclusively virtual. The inability to meet in person, combined with the significant stress posed by the events of 2020 and 2021, delayed the initial publication by a year from the original timeline.

In addition, the Editorial Group created DCRMR without direct financial support. They rely instead on freely-available tools with no paid developer support. At times, this leads to problems, such as advertisements appearing as part of the Google Programmable Search Engine or minor technical difficulties.⁴⁴

Method

The RBMS RDA Editorial Group needed to create and sustain an iterative, integrating resource that would incorporate additional DCRM manuals in the future, be responsive to changes in RDA (itself an integrating resource), and be maintained and updated by a succession of future group members. To do so, the group developed a cyclical workflow that oscillates between Google Docs and GitHub and that is buttressed by extensive documentation and facilitated by both Python scripts and human labour.

The initial text

In autumn 2019, the Editorial Group began writing what would become DCRMR. To begin, they atomized the DCRM(B) text into multiple Google documents, one RDA element per document. The Editorial Group omitted examples, textual numbering, and text about prescribed punctuation at this time because they intended to holistically review and standardize their approach to these topics. The group edited the text to bring it into alignment with RDA terminology and incorporate decisions made in the now-superseded RBMS PS. They raised smaller issues using the Google Docs comment feature and discussed larger questions through the Editorial Group listserv and during virtual meetings.

During this revision stage, the Editorial Group also made decisions on the structure of the text, which they later built into the website's architecture. To respond to the community's desire for a manual in workflow order, they decided to retain a chapter structure rooted in ISBD. Significant changes to the order of the text from DCRM(B) include:

- restructured elements related to statements of responsibility as an independent chapter. In DCRM(B), instructions related to title and statement of responsibility are both in Chapter 1, "Title and statement of responsibility area"; in DCRMR, instructions for statement of responsibility are in Chapter 2, "Statement of responsibility";
- incorporated notes into the relevant chapters. For example, in DCRM(B) all instructions for notes are found in Chapter 7, "Note Area" (7B3-7B5); in DCRMR, instructions for the element Note on title are found in Chapter 1, "Title" (1.29). DCRM(B)'s Chapter 7, "Note Area," became DCRMR's Chapter 9, "Additional notes"; and
- added placeholder chapters to hold space for the integration of additional DCRM manuals in the future. Specifically, DCRMR includes Chapter 4, "Mathematical details," for cartographic description and Chapter 7, "Numbering of serials," for serials description.

Once the Editorial Group determined the order of the text, they crafted a citation scheme. Since DCRMR is an integrating resource which will both incorporate additional instructions and respond to changes in RDA, they decided to use a four-part decimal-based citation scheme to allow for greater flexibility and extensibility. The

citation scheme is a mix of numbering that carries meaning (for example, the numbers in Chapter 3, "Edition," start with "3") and numbering that is arbitrary (for example, most element numbers started with ".2" to allow space to insert elements earlier in the chapter).⁴⁵

Migration to Github

With the order of the text in place, the keepers could build the initial website architecture, and the Editorial Group could start migrating the text from Google Docs into the GitHub repository. During summer 2020, keepers conducted training sessions for interested Editorial Group members, demonstrating how to format the text using Markdown, a lightweight markup language, and save the resulting Markdown files to the GitHub repository. Throughout the summer and into early autumn, the keepers and group members migrated the text as it was completed and reviewed. Each of the atomized Google Documents, one RDA element per document, became the basis for the Markdown files. From this point, completed drafts of the Markdown files containing instruction text lived in the GitHub repository and could be viewed as a whole and in context on the website. Working copies were kept in Google Docs, where editing, revision, and review occurred.

Reviewing the newly migrated text also allowed the chief editors and keepers to see variations in writing style, textual formatting, and input conventions. In order to ensure uniformity across the text, the keepers developed a detailed style sheet that included instructions on how to mark up and input text.⁴⁶ Some guidelines are quite granular (for example, "Alternative rules are introduced by 'Alternative rule', formatted in bold and followed by a period. The period is not in bold.")⁴⁷ Others provided broader, more flexible instructions (for example, "Alphabetized lists preferred. However, numbered lists are sometimes appropriate to the text or necessitated by the display.")⁴⁸ Chief editors and keepers discussed decisions about style. The chief editors brought some questions, like link formatting, to the whole Editorial Group for discussion. In order to minimize future variations in the style and formatting of the text, the Editorial Group centralized the editing of Markdown files in GitHub as part of the keeper role.

Developing Cyclical workflows

Once the keepers migrated the text to GitHub, the Markdown files served as the canonical copy of DCRMR, and the Editorial Group members could read and review it holistically. The keepers export the entire text from GitHub Markdown files into Microsoft Word documents using a Python script that they developed for this purpose. This is usually done on a chapter level, although more targeted text selections are sometimes desired. The Word documents are then uploaded to Google Drive and converted to Google documents. Editorial Group members can then perform detailed, line-level textual markup on these working files and conduct associated paratextual conversations using familiar tools. Group members read, analyse, and suggest revisions to the text using the "Suggest" mode. The chief editors then review all suggested changes, rejecting undesired changes and leaving approved changes as suggestions.

This process allows the chief editors to maintain a high-level view across the text and ensure consistent decisions throughout. The keepers then implement the changes in the Markdown files in GitHub and correspond with the chief editors for clarification as necessary. For complicated edits (for example, reordering text, which affects both the text undergoing edits and any link to or citation of that text), the chief editors and keepers may utilize tracking spreadsheets and other supplemental, ad-hoc documentation in order to complete all needed changes.

Once the text is ready for feedback by a community constituency, such as the editorial group's parent body, RBMS BSC, or the international rare materials cataloguing community, the text is frozen. The reviewing community is directed to the website for the development fork, which is generated from the revised text in the GitHub repository, where they may read and navigate DCRMR as a hypertext document. This GitHub fork is an exact copy of the DCRMR repository; however, the fork's environment, which determines the content of its website, is set to the development branch of the repository, allowing the changes to the Markdown files to be reviewed in context while leaving the production website, which displays the canonical version of the text, unaltered during the review period. The Editorial Group uses Google Forms to collect feedback. During the

review period, links to the forms are added to the DCRMR website, often on the chapter level. This has the advantage of gathering all feedback in a central location.

Following the review period, the chief editors review all feedback. The keepers make small bug fixes and correct minor issues, such as typos and broken links. More substantive issues may be addressed by the chief editors or through Editorial Group discussion and then incorporated into the text; some issues are flagged for future discussion. Once the Editorial Group completes post-review edits, the editorial cycle begins again. Figure 1 illustrates the cyclical editorial workflow and the tools involved in DCRMR revision.




Figure 1. The cycle of tools used in DCRMR revision.

Technical tools

The technical tools chosen to build DCRMR are rooted in the same open-source and community-forward principles and limited by the same budgetary constraints that drove its initial creation. Many of the technical tools are available for free and support asynchronous web development. They facilitate the work of multiple contributors located in different geographic areas with varying levels of technical expertise. The keepers used the following tools heavily throughout the DCRMR development process.

GitHub

GitHub is the largest open-source community in the world; it contains millions of projects with a focus on growing skills and helping others by building healthy communities of contributors.⁴⁹ Discussions surrounding GitHub began when Liz Adams and Francis Lapka prepared an internal report on the various hosting options at the request of the DCRMR editors.⁵⁰ GitHub offered several advantages over other hosting options, including version control, issue tracking, public access to wiki documentation, pull requests, project planning tools for future releases, and a lightweight formatting syntax (i.e., Markdown). Finally, GitHub offered a range of scenarios for publication such as publishing as a single Markdown file (similar to the implementation of *Describing Archives: A Content Standard*) or as multiple files hosted within a repository using github.io or a custom domain.⁵¹ Ultimately, the RBMS RDA Editorial Group decided to implement GitHub with multiple files hosted on a custom domain, publishing DCRMR on a subdomain of the RBMS website (<https://bsc.rbms.info>).

DCRMR's Repository

The GitHub repository contains the text of DCRMR and the codebase that powers the bsc.rbms.info website on GitHub Pages. The DCRMR repository also hosts various picture files, assets, and scripts used in creating and maintaining the website and text. The canonical, current, and approved version of the text is contained in the main branch of the repository. Revisions to DCRMR text are contained in branches and merged upon the chief editors' approval. Figure 2 illustrates GitHub branches as used in DCRMR revisions.




Figure 2. GitHub branches in DCRMR revisions.

Although much emphasis has been placed on the reasoning behind choosing GitHub as a home for the development of DCRMR, the keepers rely on many other free and open-source tools to ease the upkeep and ongoing maintenance of the website. Keepers working in a Windows environment must download Git for Windows, which is a free and open-source BASH emulation allowing Windows users to run Git from the command line.⁵²

In the deepest recesses of DCRMR's heart is Ruby, a free and open-source programming language with an emphasis on simplicity, productivity, and elegance.⁵³ Ruby utilizes a standard format for distributing programs and libraries in a "gem." Jekyll is a static site generator installed using Ruby. The Jekyll gem, along with several other Ruby gems, are installed using Git Bash. The Jekyll gem takes the Markdown files containing the DCRMR text and converts them into a complete, static website. Again, the premise of Jekyll is rooted in openness and configuration simplicity with an emphasis on content.⁵⁴ Because DCRMR is a tool created by and for cataloguers, simplicity, ease of software maintenance, and freely available tools are paramount.

DCRMR uses Minimal Mistakes, a flexible two-column Jekyll theme, for creating and customizing the website's presentation. The Minimal Mistakes theme includes all the assets, html layouts, and cascading style sheets that give the website its overall look and feel.⁵⁵ The keepers carefully document customizations to DCRMR's implementation of Minimal Mistakes to ensure that users of the DCRMR website will continue to have a similar end-user experience as future Minimal Mistakes releases are tested and implemented.⁵⁶

In addition to using all the development tools above, the keepers use Notepad++, an open-source code/text

editor, to create and edit the Markdown files in the DCRMR GitHub repository.⁵⁷

Jupyter Notebooks & Python

The keepers developed the Python script, which is used to compile the Markdown files into a Word file, in an environment that upholds the same basic principles and tenets as DCRMR itself. Anaconda Navigator is a desktop application that manages integrated applications, packages, and environments in an open-source, user-friendly, and community-centred development platform with open documentation, describing itself not as a company but rather as a movement.⁵⁸ This resonates strongly with the underlying principles of DCRMR, which are as much about a movement toward aligning our professional values with cataloguing rules as they are about rare materials cataloguing.

The keepers created the Python script in Anaconda Navigator's Jupyter Notebooks. The script is iterative in nature and evolves over time, enabling the cyclical editorial process between GitHub and Google Docs. The keepers test the script in a branch of the DCRMR GitHub repository and, once they have sufficiently tested the improvements, it is merged into the main repository.⁵⁹ Recent scripting improvements include preserving formatting and DCRMR's structure when converting from Markdown files to Word documents utilizing `py pandoc`, a universal document converter, and `docxcompose`, a Python library for concatenating and appending Microsoft Word (.docx) files. The editable script allows for the structure of DCRMR text to change over time as sections of instructions are drafted and new Markdown files are added to the static GitHub Pages hosted website.

Google Docs

Through 2019, the Editorial Group primarily used Google Docs as a platform to craft the RBMS PS. As mentioned above, Google Docs remains an integral part of the group editing and revision process. Google documents are still utilized heavily in the DCRMR revision and review cycle, but solely as a way for the chief editors to collect feedback or the Editorial Group to further refine the text for the next DCRMR GitHub release.

Succession planning and sustainability

The long-term sustainability of DCRMR depends on not relying on any single person's technical skills, availability, or institutional memory. It will be a multi-year project to incorporate all of the formats in extant DCRM manuals, and it will require a range of skills and contributions in cataloguing knowledge and format specialties. Likewise, maintaining and updating the website for an indefinite period will also require the skills of many GitHub contributors. In order to facilitate sustainability, the Editorial Group is taking a multi-pronged approach: collaboration in key roles, active succession planning, and extensive documentation.

Membership in the RBMS RDA Editorial Group will shift over time. To facilitate changes in membership, the Editorial Group established a model of assigning co-chief editors and co-keepers. This distributes responsibility across multiple individuals; if one person is busy, the other person can usually step in to make sure the project continues to move forward and deadlines are met (deadlines can and have been moved, as well.)

Additionally, the Editorial Group established shared accounts for activities in GitHub so that access is not tied to a single individual but rather to member roles. The DCRMR repository is owned by the RBMS Bibliographic Standards Committee GitHub account, `rbms-bsc`, which provides access to current maintainers and contributors. The Editorial Group's GitHub account, `dcrmr`, owns the forked development repository, and the chief editors use it to close issues after the resolution of an editorial discussion. Keepers of the text generally complete revisions to DCRMR text, website code, and scripts by using personal GitHub accounts with commit access to the repository.

In order to ensure continuity, the Editorial Group has established staggered terms for the chief editors. Terms change every July, following the annual volunteer cycle of ACRL; the incoming chief editor is selected by the previous spring. The keepers are working to establish a similar staggered succession model, following a three-year cycle of incoming, established, and emerita positions.

The DCRMR repository contains a wiki with official documentation both for internal and external audiences.⁶⁰ The Editorial Group uses the wiki to host internal documentation on DCRMR's editorial and style guidelines, and citation scheme; instructions on website maintenance, running scripts, and setting up their computers to perform DCRMR editing via GitHub Desktop and a local environment; and templates for new DCRMR text. Other documentation for both the Editorial Group and the general public includes a DCRMR FAQ page, current and historical RBMS RDA Editorial Group membership, reported errata, and resources on succession planning and leadership transitions. In alignment with DCRMR's principles on succession planning, the wiki documentation on the Python script evolves over time as new keepers take on roles within the organization of the Editorial Group. As many cataloguers are just starting to actively build skills in Python and GitHub, the documentation helps to build confidence in successive keepers, guiding them through the steps of downloading Anaconda Navigator, installing Python packages, placing Markdown files, and running the script or creating a Ruby/Jekyll environment on their local machines for website development and testing.

Outcomes

The BSC officially published DCRMR on 2 February 2022, following a vote from the RBMS Executive Committee. At the time of this writing, DCRMR has been used to create or edit over 8,200 records in OCLC. DCRMR has generated global interest. One hundred and fifty participants from eight countries spanning three continents attended the public hearing sessions on DCRMR in December 2021. In the first year after initial publication, Google Analytics shows access from 13,768 users from 111 countries, representing six continents (see Table 1).⁶¹

<i>Country</i>	<i>Users</i>
United States	8,128
United Kingdom	1,008
Canada	734
Australia	649
Netherlands	498
Finland	411
France	310
Austria	194
South Africa	172
Germany	140
Japan	112
Philippines	101
India	80
Czechia	79
China	64
Sweden	63
Spain	58
Norway	55
Singapore	54
South Korea	51

Table 1. Number of DCRMR users from the top twenty countries, 3 February 2022 to 2 February 2023.

Future directions and development

DCRMR is currently a minimum viable product incorporating instructions for rare book cataloguing only. The first major update to DCRMR was released in November 2022, which added a glossary, added general rules for all elements, and updated two element names to reflect changes in RDA. To maintain transparency, a changelog and semantic versioning were incorporated into DCRMR, and the keepers developed maintenance tools and routines for citation numbers. In the longer term, the Editorial Group plans to incorporate instructions for the remaining five formats covered in the original DCRM suite, starting with graphics. The Editorial Group documents ongoing maintenance and future work in the DCRMR GitHub repository.⁶² These tasks include updating the early letterforms and brevigraphs tables in DCRMR, incorporating additional examples, and drafting sections on pre-cataloging decisions and other topics.

Like RDA, DCRMR is an integrating resource that will be updated over time, and editorial work on the standard follows an iterative process. The RSC generally releases updates of the RDA Toolkit four times a year.⁶³ The Editorial Group will review the release notes after each update and make any necessary changes to DCRMR so that it remains aligned with RDA. Major changes to RDA that will require revisions to DCRMR include revisions to RDA element names or definitions and the deprecation, or phasing out, of elements over time. The Editorial Group will also respond to changes in best practices for rare materials cataloguing. Before any major updates to DCRMR, particularly before the integration of instructions for additional formats, the Editorial Group will solicit and carefully consider community feedback.

Along with future release cycles for revised and new sections of DCRMR instructions, the freely hosted infrastructure will be updated and rigorously tested as developers release new versions and patches for the various software and tools that power the website, such as Git, GitHub, Ruby, Jekyll, and Minimal Mistakes. The first minor update to DCRMR was released in May 2023 and added a Citation File Format (CFF) file to the root of the DCRMR GitHub repository's structure which allows the automatic population of a preferred citation for DCRMR as a bibliographic resource through popular citation managers, such as Zotero and Mendeley, and in various citation formats, such as APA, Chicago, etc.⁶⁴ In addition to the creation of this Citation.cff file, the keepers of the text also began minting Zenodo DOIs for GitHub releases. A Zenodo DOI increases the amount of nuance and accuracy in bibliographic citations for DCRMR as the text evolves and changes over time. For example, one DOI represents the overarching concept of DCRMR as a whole and will always resolve to the latest version.⁶⁵ Other DOIs are minted for specific DCRMR releases, such as the first major release. Each of these DOIs preserves a snapshot of how the repository and text existed in the past.⁶⁶

Conclusion

DCRMR is a standard made by the rare materials cataloguing community for the rare materials cataloguing community. The RBMS RDA Editorial Group followed the guiding principles of accessibility, openness, and sustainability throughout the development of the standard. Because DCRMR is hosted and built with free, open-source tools, such as GitHub and Jekyll, any cataloguer may access and use the standard at no subscription cost. Under the provisions of DCRMR's Creative Commons license, cataloguers, individual institutions, and other organizations can adapt the text to their needs or use the base code in GitHub to develop other open cataloguing standards.

The Editorial Group is committed to transparency and open collaboration. Anyone interested in the development of DCRMR may read and comment on the issue threads in DCRMR's GitHub repository or consult the extensive documentation posted in the Editorial Group's wiki. The use of open, collaborative, and familiar tools such as Google Docs ensures that group members with varying levels of technical expertise can participate equally in the editorial process.

Finally, the Editorial Group selected open tools where possible and developed an editorial process with sustainability in mind. GitHub and Python, for example, are well established; thriving communities of users across many domains continue to implement these tools in a variety of applications. The use of Python scripts, in particular, automates many of the routine maintenance tasks for DCRMR, freeing time for editorial work and the ongoing development of the website. The Editorial Group's model of staggered terms for co-chief editors

and co-keepers, as well as wiki documentation on succession planning and onboarding new group members, bolsters the long-term sustainability of DCRMR.

The RBMS RDA Editorial Group, a dedicated group of volunteers who work in the field, is committed to developing and supporting a standard that is broadly useful to the rare materials cataloguing community. It takes a village to raise a cataloguing standard, but it takes a community to make it thrive. Feedback from and dialogue with peers working in rare materials cataloguing are essential to the ongoing relevance and utility of DCRMR.

References

¹Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (RDA Edition) (v2022.2.1.1), ed. RBMS RDA Editorial Group, Bibliographic Standards Committee, Rare Books and Manuscripts Section, Association of College and Research Libraries, accessed 27 May 2022, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7953732>; RDA Steering Committee, "RDA Toolkit," accessed 27 May 2022, <https://access.rdatoolkit.org>.

²*Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Books)*, ed. Bibliographic Standards Committee, Rare Books and Manuscripts Section, Association of College and Research Libraries (Washington, D.C.: Cataloging Distribution Service, Library of Congress, 2011), accessed 27 May 2022, <https://rbms.info/files/dcrm/dcrmb/DCRMB3.pdf>.

³Cataloging Ethics Steering Committee, *Cataloging Code of Ethics*, last modified January 2021, accessed 15 February 2024, <http://hdl.handle.net/11213/16716>.

⁴Beth M. Russell, "Description and Access in Rare Books Cataloging: A Historical Survey," *Cataloging & Classification Quarterly* 35, nos. 3-4 (2003): 492, https://doi.org/10.1300/J104v35n03_10.

⁵*Ibid.*, 493.

⁶*Ibid.*, 494-496.

⁷Juliet McLaren and Jane M. Gillis, "Is This Rule Necessary? A Discussion of New Rules for Rare Serials," *Cataloging and Classification Quarterly*, 43, no. 1 (2006): 41, https://doi.org/10.1300/J104v43n01_04.

⁸*Ibid.*, 43-51.

⁹Russell, "Description and Access in Rare Books Cataloging," 497.

¹⁰*Ibid.*, 499-514.

¹¹Mary Burns, "RDA and Rare Books Cataloging, Part 1," *Library Resources and Technical Services* 62, no. 4 (2018): 160-162, <https://doi.org/10.5860/lrts.62n4.160>.

¹²*Ibid.*, 160.

¹³Mary Burns, "RDA and Rare Books Cataloging, Part 2," *Library Resources and Technical Services* 63, no. 1 (2019): 25, <https://doi.org/10.5860/lrts.63n1.4>

¹⁴*Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Books)*.

¹⁵RDA Steering Committee, "About RDA," accessed 27 May 2022, www.rda-rsc.org/content/about-rda.

¹⁶*Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Books)*.

¹⁷RDA Steering Committee, "RDA Frequently Asked Questions," accessed May 27, 2022, http://rda-rsc.org/content/rda_faq#8.

¹⁸Robert Maxwell and John Attig, "Reconsidering DCRM in the Light of RDA: A Discussion Paper," accessed 27 May 2022, RDA Steering Committee, "RDA Frequently Asked Questions," accessed May 27, 2022, http://rda-rsc.org/content/rda_faq#8.

¹⁹*Ibid.*, 6-8.

²⁰Todd Fell and Francis Lapka, "ISBD and DCRM into RDA: An Opportunity for Convergence?" *Cataloging and Classification Quarterly* 54, nos. 5-6 (2016): 282-290, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01639374.2016.1190436>.

²¹*Ibid.*, 286-289.

²²*Ibid.*, 290.

- ²³"Final report of DCRM-RDA Task Force," October 10, 2012, accessed 27 May 2022, 3, https://rbms.info/files/dcrm/rda/FinalDCRMRDAReport_20121010.pdf.
- ²⁴Meeting minutes of RBMS Bibliographic Standards Committee at ALA Midwinter Conference, 26 January 2013, accessed 27 May 2022, 7-8, <https://rbms.info/files/committees/minutes/2013/bibstandminutes13m.pdf>.
- ²⁵*BIBCO Standard Record (BSR) RDA Metadata Application Profile* (Washington, D.C.: Program for Cooperative Cataloging, 2020), accessed 27 May 2022, 4, www.loc.gov/aba/pcc/bibco/documents/PCC-RDA-BSR.pdf.
- ²⁶Meeting minutes of RBMS Bibliographic Standards Committee, 26 January 2013, 12.
- ²⁷Francis Lapka, email message to DCRM Users' Group mailing list, 18 April 2013, <https://listserver.lib.byu.edu/pipermail/dcrm-l/2013-April/003138.html>.
- ²⁸Meeting minutes of RBMS Bibliographic Standards Committee at ALA Annual Conference, 29 June 2013, accessed 27 May 2022, 5-6, <https://rbms.info/files/committees/minutes/2013/bibstandminutes13a.pdf>; Meeting minutes of RBMS Bibliographic Standards Committee at ALA Midwinter Conference, 25 January 2014, accessed 27 May 2022, 5, <https://rbms.info/files/committees/minutes/2014/bibstandminutes14m.pdf>.
- ²⁹"ACRL/RBMS Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials Task Force," Rare Books and Manuscripts Section, Association of College and Research Libraries, accessed 27 May 2022, <https://rbms.info/acrl-rbms-descriptive-cataloging-of-rare-materials-task-force/>.
- ³⁰Ibid.
- ³¹Ibid.
- ³²Meeting minutes of RBMS Bibliographic Standards Committee at ALA Annual Conference, 24 June 2017, accessed 27 May 2022, 6, <https://rbms.info/files/committees/minutes/2017/bibstandminutes17a.pdf>; Meeting minutes of RBMS Bibliographic Standards Committee at ALA Midwinter Conference, 10 February 2018, accessed 27 May 2022, 7-8, <https://rbms.info/files/committees/minutes/2018/bibstandminutes18m.pdf>.
- ³³Robin Camille Davis, "Git and GitHub for Librarians," *Behavioral & Social Sciences Librarian* 34, no. 3 (2015): 163-164, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01639269.2015.1062586>.
- ³⁴Ibid., 158.
- ³⁵Prayudi Utomo and Falahah, "Building Serverless Website on GitHub Pages," *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* 879 (2020): 4, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/879/1/012077>.
- ³⁶Ibid., 1.
- ³⁷Yasset Perez-Riverol, et al., "Ten Simple Rules for Taking Advantage of Git and GitHub," *PLoS Computational Biology* 12, no. 7 (2016): 1, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004947>.
- ³⁸Keith Engwall and Mitchell Roe, "Git and GitLab in Library Website Change Management Workflows," *Code4lib Journal* 48 (2020), <https://journal.code4lib.org/articles/15250>.
- ³⁹Ibid.
- ⁴⁰Meeting minutes of RBMS Bibliographic Standards Committee at ALA Midwinter Conference, 26 January 2019, accessed 27 May 2022, 10, <https://rbms.info/files/committees/minutes/2019/bibstandminutes19m.pdf>.
- ⁴¹Report of RBMS RDA Editorial Group, 27 April 2020, accessed 27 May 2022, 1, https://rbms.info/files/committees/bibliographic_standards/committee-docs/RBMS%20RDA%20Editorial%20Group%20Report-200423.pdf.
- ⁴²Ibid.
- ⁴³Creative Commons, Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0), accessed 26 May 2022, <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>.
- ⁴⁴Google, "What is Programmable Search Engine?" accessed 9 May 2022, <https://developers.google.com/custom-search/>.
- ⁴⁵RBMS RDA Editorial Group, "Citation numbers," revision 8, last modified 1 December 2021, accessed 27 May 2022, <https://github.com/rbms-bsc/DCRMR/wiki/Citation-numbers>.
- ⁴⁶RBMS RDA Editorial Group, "Style sheet (full)," revision 28, last modified 7 March 2022, accessed 27 May 2022, [https://github.com/rbms-bsc/DCRMR/wiki/Style-sheet-\(full\)](https://github.com/rbms-bsc/DCRMR/wiki/Style-sheet-(full)).
- ⁴⁷Ibid.

- ⁴⁸Ibid.
- ⁴⁹GitHub, "The largest open source community in the world," accessed 29 April 2022, <https://github.com/open-source>.
- ⁵⁰Liz Adams and Francis Lapka, "Hosting Options for DCRM2," report presented at the 2019 ALA Midwinter Conference, accessed 29 April 2022, https://docs.google.com/document/d/1J150DeeDxdFSK_ohC8pfCHWy32D_-ilmgFt8cw1-e4g/edit.
- ⁵¹Society of American Archivists' Technical Subcommittee on Describing Archives: A Content Standard (TS-DACS), "Describing Archives: A Content Standard," Version 2021.0.0.2, last modified 28 May 2021, accessed 27 May 2022, <https://github.com/saa-ts-dacs/dacs/blob/master/dacs.md>.
- ⁵²Git for Windows, revision 2.36.0, accessed 29 April 2022, <https://gitforwindows.org/>.
- ⁵³Ruby, revision 3.1.2, accessed 29 April 2022, www.ruby-lang.org/en/.
- ⁵⁴Jekyll, revision 4.2.2, accessed 29 April 2022, <https://github.com/jekyll/jekyll>.
- ⁵⁵Minimal Mistakes, revision 4.24.0, accessed 27 May 2022, <https://github.com/mmistakes/minimal-mistakes>.
- ⁵⁶RBMS RDA Editorial Group. "Updating the Jekyll theme & customizations," last modified 26 January 2022, accessed 27 May 2022, <https://github.com/rbms-bsc/DCRMR/wiki/Updating-the-Jekyll-theme-&customizations>.
- ⁵⁷Don Ho, "Notepad++," revision 8.4, accessed 27 May 2022, <https://notepad-plus-plus.org/>.
- ⁵⁸Anaconda, "Who is Anaconda?" revision 3.9, accessed 29 April 2022, www.anaconda.com/about-us.
- ⁵⁹RBMS RDA Editorial Group, "rbms-bsc/DCRMR GitHub Repository," last modified 16 February 2022, accessed August 24, 2022, <https://github.com/rbms-bsc/DCRMR/>.
- ⁶⁰RBMS RDA Editorial Group, "Welcome to the DCRMR Editorial Guidelines Wiki," last modified 14 March 2022, accessed 27 May 2022, <https://github.com/rbms-bsc/DCRMR/wiki/>.
- ⁶¹DCRMR implemented Google Analytics 4 (GA4); pre- and post-GA4 statistics are maintained separately.
- ⁶²RBMS RDA Editorial Group, "Issues," last modified 12 October 2021, accessed 27 May 2022, <https://github.com/rbms-bsc/DCRMR/issues>.
- ⁶³RDA Steering Committee, "Frequently Asked Questions."
- ⁶⁴RBMS RDA Editorial Group, "rbms-bsc/DCRMR/CITATION.cff," last modified 20 May 2023, accessed 31 January 2024, <https://github.com/rbms-bsc/DCRMR/blob/main/CITATION.cff>.
- ⁶⁵Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (RDA Edition) (v2022.2.1.1), <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7953732>.
- ⁶⁶Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (RDA Edition) (v2022.2.0.1), <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7921077>.